

## 48. Chromosome observation in Japanese ants

Hirotami IMAI and Toshihide H. YOSIDA

Since there have been made only a few studies on the chromosomes of ants, taxonomists could not utilize cytological data in evolutionary considerations of this insect. The present authors made an attempt to coordinate karyological data with problems of ant phylogeny. As the first step, the authors made a detailed analysis of their chromosomes by using the hyaluronidase-aceto-orcein squash method in combination with the drying-method, and obtained suitable preparations. The present paper reports the chromosome numbers of 22 Japanese species belonging to 4 subfamilies (Table 1).

From these results, it became clear that the variation of the chromo-

Table 1. Chromosome numbers of Japanese ants.

Subfamily PONERINAE		n	2n
<i>Brachyponera luteipes</i> SMITH.....		11	22
<i>Cryptopone sauteri</i> WHEELER.....			28
Subfamily DOLICHODERINAE			
<i>Iridomyrmex itoi</i> FOREL .....	14	28	
Subfamily MYRMICINAE			
<i>Pheidole feruida</i> SMITH.....	10	20	
<i>Monomorium pharaonis</i> LINNÉ .....	11		
<i>Pristomyrmex pungens</i> MAYR .....	12	24	
<i>Leptothorax spinosior</i> FOREL .....		24	
<i>Crematogaster laboriosa</i> SMITH .....		26	
<i>Tetramorium caespitum jacoti</i> WHEELER .....	14	28	
<i>Aphaenogaster</i> sp. ....	16		
<i>Aphaenogaster famelica</i> SMITH .....	17	34	
<i>Vollenhovia emeryi</i> WHEELER.....		36	
<i>Messor aciculatum</i> SMITH.....	22	44	
Subfamily FORMICINAE			
<i>Camponotus</i> sp.....	9	18	
<i>Camponotus kiusiuensis</i> SANTSCHI .....		28	
<i>Camponotus japonicus</i> MAYR .....	14		
<i>Lasius niger</i> LINNÉ .....		30	
<i>Lasius talpa</i> WILSON.....		30	
<i>Formica sanguinea</i> LATREILLE .....	26	52	
<i>Formica truncorum yessensis</i> FOREL .....	26	52	
<i>Formica japonica</i> MOTSCHULSKY .....	27	54	
<i>Polyergus samurai</i> YANO.....	27	54	

some numbers is very wide among the genera of the same subfamily, namely, from  $n = 4$  to  $n = 27$  (including the data of PEACOCK *et al.* (1954) and HAUSCHTECK (1961, 1962)). Striking heteroploidy has been developed in two subfamilies, Formicinae ( $n = 8 \sim 27$ ) and Myrmicinae ( $n = 4 \sim 22$ ). Based on this fact, it is presumed that both subfamilies evolved independently from a very early evolutionary stage in the direction toward increasing the chromosome number and developing heteroploidy. This assumption agrees with the opinion of BROWN (1954) who divided the family Formicidae into two large groups based on morphological traits, *i.e.*, Poneroid-complex including Myrmicinae and Myrmecioid-complex including Formicinae. The assumption is also supported by their wide distribution and mode of reproduction. WHEELER (1928) thought that the origin of ants is tropical, but both those subfamilies became distributed all over the world. Therefore it may be assumed that the heteroploidy occurred in various genera, one after another, in the course of their migration to new environments. At the same time, their complicated system of parthenogenetical reproduction (haploid or diploid parthenogenesis of eggs laid by the queen or especially by the workers) may have also contributed to the development of heteroploidy as known in many other parthenogenetical animals.